

Journal of Mechanical Ventilation

Peer review policy

1. Purpose and Scope

The peer review process is an integral component of scholarly publishing, ensuring the quality, validity, and reliability of research articles. The Journal of Mechanical Ventilation (JMV) is committed to maintaining high standards of scientific rigor and ethical conduct in the field of mechanical ventilation. This policy outlines the guidelines and procedures for the peer review process at JMV.

2. Types of Peer Review

JMV employs a double-blind peer review process, where the identities of both the reviewers and the authors are concealed from each other. The goal is to minimize biases and ensure a fair evaluation of the manuscript based on its merit.

3. Selection of Reviewers

Reviewers are selected based on their expertise in the subject matter of the manuscript. The Editorial Board is responsible for identifying qualified reviewers with a track record of scholarly contributions in mechanical ventilation or related fields. Authors are also encouraged to suggest potential reviewers during the submission process.

4. Reviewer Responsibilities

Reviewers are expected to provide constructive, impartial, and timely feedback on the submitted manuscripts. Key responsibilities include:

- Assessing the scientific validity, originality, and significance of the research.
- Evaluating the clarity and coherence of the manuscript.
- Identifying any ethical concerns or conflicts of interest.
- Offering recommendations for improvement.

5. Reviewer Anonymity

To maintain the integrity of the peer review process, the identities of both the reviewers and the authors are kept confidential. Reviewers are requested to disclose any conflicts of interest and to refrain from sharing the content or outcomes of the review with others.

6. Reviewer Feedback

Reviewers will provide detailed feedback to the Editorial Board, which will be communicated to the authors. Authors will be given the opportunity to revise their manuscripts based on the feedback received.

7. Editorial Decision

The Editorial Board will make final decisions on manuscript acceptance, revision, or rejection based on the peer reviews. The decision-making process will consider the reviewers' comments, the scientific quality of the manuscript, and its alignment with the journal's scope.

8. Appeals Process

Authors have the right to appeal editorial decisions. Appeals should be submitted in writing to the Editorial Board, accompanied by a detailed explanation of the grounds for the appeal.

9. Confidentiality

All parties involved in the peer review process, including authors, reviewers, and Editorial Board members, must respect the confidentiality of the review process. Manuscripts under review should not be disclosed or discussed without explicit permission.

10. Continuous Improvement

This peer review policy will be periodically reviewed and updated to reflect advancements in the field and improvements in the editorial process.

Contact Information:

For inquiries related to the peer review process, please contact the Editorial Office at editor@journalmechanicalventilation.com